

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/905,755	CHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Rejected

 (1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) _____.

 (2) Diane Wong.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2005
Time: 12:00 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,071,394
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite prosecution, Examiner called Ms. Wong to request submission of a terminal disclaimer over US Patent No. 6,071,394, since an obviousness-type double patenting rejection had been inadvertently been omitted from the prior Office Actions, and this is the final issue remaining in the application. Ms. Wong agreed to submit the disclaimer..